

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Grademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/089,312	03/29/2002	Gregory Gregoriadis	G0365.0355/P355	7293
7590 11/28/2003 Dickstein Shapiro Morin & Oshinsky 1177 Avenue of the Americas 41st Floor			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, DAVE TRONG	
New York, NY 10036-2714			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1632	
			DATE MAILED: 11/28/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/089,312	GREGORIADIS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dave T Nguyen	1632				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears n the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) Status	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a i. a reply within the statutory minimum of thir triod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tatute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und Disposition of Claims						
. 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> is/are pending in the applica	ition.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-49 are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers	·					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	pplication No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the paper. 	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	·					
a) The translation of the foreign language 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	provisional application has be	een received.				
Attachment(s)	priority union 00 0.0.0.	33 .20 GHG/OF 12 1.				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Election/Restriction

Species Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372:

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species of the general formula II are as follows:

1/ Y is O;

Y is a bond:

Applicant is required to elect a single species of Y;

2/ X2 is N or P when m is 3; X2 is S when m is 2;

Applicant is further required to elect a single species of X2, wherein X2 is particularly named for one of the listed atoms;

3/ A particular named species of R8 as listed in claim 21;

Applicant is further required to elect a single species of R8;

The species of the general formula I are as follows:

4/ R5 is a C1-8 alkanediyl group;

R5 is a bond:

Applicant is further required to elect a single species of R5Y;

5/ X1 is N or P when n is 3; X1 is S when m is 2;

Applicant is further required to elect a single species of X1 wherein X1 is particularly named for one of the listed atoms;

6/ A particular named species of R6 as listed in claim 22;
Applicant is further required to elect a single species of R6;

7/ A particularly named second zwitterionic phospholipids as listed in claim 32;
Applicant is further required to elect a single species of a second zwitterionic phospholipids;

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Claims 21, 22, 32, 36, 38, for example, correspond to all of the species listed above.

The following claim is generic: 21, 36, 48.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Each of the named species does not share a structural feature in common with respect with one another. Thus, the requirement of unity of the invention is not fulfilled.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper, especially since it would be unduly burdensome for the examiner to search all of the claimed inventions being sought in the pending claims.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner *Dave Nguyen* whose telephone number is **(703) 305-2024**.

Application/Control Number: 10/089,312 Page 5

Art Unit: 1632

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, *Deborah Reynolds*, may be reached at **(703) 305-4051**.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CM1 Fax Center number is (703) 305-7401.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the *Group receptionist* whose telephone number is **(703) 308-0196**.

Dave Nguyen Primary Examiner

Art Unit: 1632

1.00